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Many people are familiar with the state’s operating budget. That $50 billion dollar policy tool funds our classrooms and teachers, our nursing homes and mental health services, our judiciary, and our rental assistance programs. But to build and renovate our school buildings, nursing homes, public health facilities, public housing, roads, courthouses, and parks, the state has a separate capital budget. The capital budget is designed to pay over time for long-term investments and long-lasting assets, without having to rely on the single-year operating budget cycle.

Capital budgeting allows for the multi-year timeframes needed to plan, construct, and finance assets that may last for decades. But if the state’s capital budget is inadequate to meet the capital needs of the Commonwealth, the state is at risk of sacrificing its investments. Schools might become run-down or overcrowded, public housing might become dilapidated and unsafe to live in, the state’s technology might become outdated and obsolete.

Unlike the operating budget which is paid for by annual revenues such as taxes, fees, and federal reimbursements, the capital budget is paid for by longer-term financing mechanisms such as bonds. A bond is a type of “IOU” and is the primary funding source — but not the only source — of funding for capital spending.¹ The state sells a bond, and the bond’s buyer is essentially loaning the state money. The state then uses the proceeds from that sale to finance the purchase or construction or maintenance of a capital asset. The state then pays back the borrower over the life of the bond, along with interest on the loan. The loan repayments and the interest are referred to as “debt service.” Debt service payments for bonds are paid out of the state’s annual operating budget.²

The state sells general obligation bonds that pledge the “full faith and credit” of the Commonwealth against the state’s general ability to levy taxes and other revenues. Special obligation bonds pledge specific revenues available to the state, such as revenues raised from the state’s convention centers, or revenues raised by taxes on gas or other fuels and fees from the Registry of Motor Vehicles. Some capital spending is also paid for with specific federal funds or operating funds within the state budget.

Key Takeaways

- The capital budgeting process takes place largely out of the public eye but is responsible for building and maintaining critical state infrastructure.
- Capital assets usually have a life longer than one year and are financed by the sale of bonds, rather than through the state’s operating budget.
- The Legislature authorizes capital funding in a bond bill, but there is no guarantee that what the Legislature authorizes will get funded.
- Every year, the Governor releases a 5-year Capital Investment Plan (CIP) and a one-year capital budget detailing what capital spending will happen that year.
Although both the operating budget and the capital budget are critical, the creation of the state’s capital budget is quite different from the creation of the operating budget. One of the notable differences — besides the differences in timeframes and how the budget is financed — is that the capital budget process happens largely outside of public view. Unlike the operating budget which is a piece of legislation, the capital budget is essentially a plan. The operating budget appropriates money, meaning it sets up an obligation to spend funding in a certain way. The capital budget, on the other hand, simply identifies the plan for spending money. This allows for money to be spent but doesn’t require it as it is essentially an “administrative guideline . . . subject to amendment from time to time.”

Unlike with the operating budget, the state’s capital budgeting process does not include well-attended public hearings or public debates on funding priorities. (The Department of Transportation, unlike the other capital agencies, has its own separately established capital plan and a planning cycle that includes a public comment period as part of its process.) For the state’s overall capital plan, there is little opportunity for the public to participate in the process of developing priorities for capital spending or for influencing which project happens when. And even more challenging, when a law is passed authorizing capital spending, there’s no guarantee that the money authorized will ever be spent on the projects listed in the authorizing legislation. On top of that, the capital budget is much smaller than the operating budget — about one-tenth the size.

Annual Massachusetts Capital Budget Timeline

Just like the operating budget, the capital budgeting process follows the state fiscal year calendar (July 1 – June 30). The work begins almost a year in advance.

1. On or before September 30
   The Treasurer announces the total amount of debt allowed to be issued in line with the statutory direct debt limit (see below). This is limited to 5 percent above the previous year’s debt limit.

2. On or before December 15
   The Capital Debt Affordability Committee (see below) holds meetings open to the public to review economic forecasts, the inform their advisory recommendation to the Governor and the Legislature about the total amount of debt that can be issued for the coming fiscal year. The committee’s estimate must be available online and visible to the public.

3. Agencies develop capital plan recommendations for the Governor.

4. On or before January 15 (January 31 for a new Governor’s first year)
   The Governor determines the total authorizations advisable for new state debt for the coming fiscal year.

5. During the spring
   The Executive Office of Administration and Finance and the capital agencies consolidate their recommendations for capital spending and receive approval from the Governor. The Governor, via the Executive Office of Administration and
Finance, drafts a five-year Capital Investment Plan and the annual capital budget (see below).  

6. On or before July 1
   The Governor releases the five-year Capital Investment Plan and the capital budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

“Bond Bills”

Voting on and passing bond bills is the Legislature’s primary direct role in capital budgeting. A bond bill is legislation that authorizes the state (technically, the Treasurer) to issue and sell bonds to fund capital projects and programs. Usually the Governor introduces bond bills, although legislators and executive agencies can as well.

Bond bills are reviewed by the Joint Committee on Bonding, Capital Expenditures and State Assets. Once approved by the Committee, the bond bill must also pass through the Committees on Ways and Means prior to passage by the full House and Senate. A bond bill must pass by a two-thirds majority roll call vote in both the House and the Senate.

A bond bill can include language (similar to a legislative earmark) for a capital spending authorization. This identifies a specific program or project that could be authorized for funding within this bond bill.

The Governor ultimately has control over capital spending but must choose from among the items authorized within enacted bonding legislation. For this reason, the Governor will often propose a bond bill with relatively unrestricted language to maintain discretion over what capital projects will move forward.

The Governor typically has five years in which to fund projects authorized in a bond bill. Each year, the state may enact legislation to extend the term of unused capital spending authorizations that are due to expire.

Bond bills often focus on distinct areas of investment, such as transportation, housing, or economic development, although in many years there is a bond bill that focuses on a variety of state capital projects across multiple agencies. Bonding legislation often follows a cycle. For example, the Legislature authorizes a major housing bond bill approximately every five years and the Governor may then spend some portion of the funding authorized each year.

A bond bill simply authorizes spending – it does not guarantee that the capital projects identified in the legislation will come to light. That decision reverts to the Administration when the Governor determines what will be funded in the detailed list of projects included in the first fiscal year of the five-year capital investment plan.
The Five-Year Capital Investment Plan

Every year, the Governor releases a five-year capital investment plan (CIP). This is a planning and fiscal management tool that lays out proposed capital investments, as well as the five-year plan for financing. The Governor must release this plan before the start of the fiscal year on July 1. The plan is updated on a rolling basis.

This plan creates the schedule for the state’s investments in its capital assets and identifies the sources of funding for those assets. Capital assets – by definition – have a useful life of over one year. The costs of paying for capital assets can then be spread over more than one year. The largest component of capital spending every year is for transportation, along with funding for public facilities, housing and economic development projects, and capital spending related to energy and the environment. Together, these represent most of the state’s capital spending through the sale of general obligation bonds, which are subject to a “bond cap” (see below.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY AREA</th>
<th>State Bond Cap</th>
<th>Non-Bond Cap</th>
<th>Operating Funds</th>
<th>Federal Funds</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Investments</td>
<td>2,443.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>350.1</td>
<td>2,851.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections</td>
<td>157.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>157.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts</td>
<td>280.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>280.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>1,125.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>1,195.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Environment</td>
<td>948.3</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>244.3</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>1,438.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services</td>
<td>622.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>258.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>880.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td>856.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>162.7</td>
<td>1,019.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>1,215.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,215.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>983.0</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>602.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1,654.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>382.7</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>417.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government Infrastructure</td>
<td>876.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>901.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>4,007.6</td>
<td>1,860.0</td>
<td>1,295.2</td>
<td>5,259.4</td>
<td>323.9</td>
<td>12,746.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,900.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,083.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,319.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,384.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,072.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,760.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The capital budget

The capital budget is the upcoming fiscal year’s capital spending plan for each executive agency and is the plan for capital spending from the proceeds of the sale of general obligation bonds for the coming fiscal year. The State Treasurer is then responsible for selling bonds as needed to finance the state’s capital spending.

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023, the Governor projected the multiple sources available for capital funding would total $4.86 billion:

- $2.78 billion: general obligation bond proceeds, subject to a debt limit or “bond cap” (see below). This is the most flexible source for capital funding.
- $1.05 billion: federal funds from specific federal agencies to support aspects of the capital plan from the Army Corps of Engineers, Veterans Affairs, reimbursement for some highway funding, and reimbursement for some Medicaid-support IT projects.
- $465 million: special obligation bonds that are backed by dedicated state transportation revenues such as gas excise tax and registry fees.
- $249 million: “pay-as-you-go” funds such as toll revenue to support current year capital projects on toll roads.
- $50 million: project revenue or “self-funding” from projects that generate savings or revenue to help cover debt service costs.
- $265 million: other funding sources such as partner funding from municipalities, private sector collaborators, state public colleges and universities, as well as American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds specified for capital items.

Seven of the ten largest items in the Governor’s capital budget for FY 2023 are for transportation projects, ranging from $429 million for highway and bridge construction to $94 million for MBTA subway vehicles. At the other end of the spectrum, the FY 2023 capital budget itemizes $10,000 in spending on a state hospital and $20,000 for various construction projects at state universities. The total list includes more than 400 separate capital projects.

And while the demands of transportation funding typically dominate the annual capital budget, the state’s Division of Capital Asset Management and Maintenance (DCAMM) plays an important role as the state’s primary capital planning agency. In addition to capital planning, DCAMM oversees major public construction and facilities management. DCAMM also oversees the state’s capital assets and works with the other capital planning agencies (such as the Department of Transportation or the Executive Office of Health and Human Services) to support their needs. In the FY 2023 capital budget, 130 of the 430 items funded are under the oversight of DCAMM.
Capital Spending Limitations

There are limits placed on capital spending in part because the Commonwealth wants to make sure that the debt service needed to support this spending stays at a manageable level. To handle this, the state has put into place three primary measures: creation of a Capital Debt Affordability Committee to provide guidance and oversight; creating a bond cap; and establishing a direct debt limit.

Capital Debt Affordability Committee

In 2012, the Legislature created the Capital Debt Affordability Committee to recommend to the Governor the total amount of new debt that should be authorized for the following fiscal year. In addition to considering the administrative bond cap (see below) and the statutory direct debt limit (see below), the Committee has also tried to limit total debt service payments to less than eight percent of the state’s budgeted revenues through the coming decade.

The Committee usually meets during the fall and early winter to consider the factors that should go into their recommendation for the upcoming amount of new Commonwealth debt. They are required to report their recommendation to the Governor and Legislature by December 15 each year. The recommendations of the committee are not binding on the Governor or the Legislature.

The Capital Debt Affordability Committee considers such factors as the amount of state bonds that will be outstanding in the next fiscal year and the amount of capital spending that has been authorized but still unissued (see below). They consider the Governor’s Capital Investment Plan and projection of debt service requirements over the next decade. They also consider various economic factors and other considerations that would be important for the credit rating agencies.

Chapter 140 of the Acts of 2022 gave two additional responsibilities to the Committee. Language in the legislation states that no later than July 15, 2023, the Committee should report to the Governor on measures to reduce overall debt service being paid by the Commonwealth and measures to increase the state’s bond ratings.

Bond Cap

The state’s bond cap is an administrative limit on the amount of bond-funded capital expenditures each year. The bond cap consists of the annual total of Commonwealth general obligation bonds sold. This is a policy intended to keep the Commonwealth’s debt within reasonable and affordable limits.

Annual growth in the bond cap is capped at $125 million and the FY 2023 bond cap is $2.780 billion.
**Direct Debt Limit**

A statute sets a ceiling on how much total direct debt (the principal from general obligation bonds) the Commonwealth may have outstanding at any point. This amount was set at $17.070 billion in FY 2012 and is allowed to increase by five percent every year thereafter.\(^2\)

The state aims to stay well within this limit to maintain in good standing with creditors. In FY 2022 the state’s direct debt limit was $27.805 billion, but total outstanding direct debt was 86 percent of that, $23.878 billion.\(^3\)

**Authorized and Unissued Debt**

The Commonwealth typically authorizes (through bond bills) more debt than it ultimately uses to finance capital projects. This is referred to as “authorized and unissued debt.” The Comptroller estimates that in FY 2022 there was approximately $38.2 billion in authorized but as yet unissued debt.\(^4\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Capital Budgeting Amounts(^5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Debt Limit (FY 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital budget (FY 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Cap – General Obligation Bonds (FY 2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Debt Limit (FY 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding direct debt (FY 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital budget (FY 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Cap – General Obligation Bonds (FY 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized but unissued debt (FY 2022)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. In addition to general obligation and special obligation bonds, capital spending is also paid for with specific federal funds, fees, or operating funds within the state budget.
2. The FY 2023 operating budget includes about $2.8 billion for debt service. See MassBudget’s [Budget Browser](https://www.mass.gov/budget-browser).
4. “The Capital Debt Affordability Committee was established by Chapter 165 of the Acts of 2012, Section 60B. On or before December 15 of each year, the Committee shall submit to the Governor and the General Court the Committee’s estimate of the total amount of new Commonwealth debt that prudently may be authorized for the next fiscal year. The Committee shall review on a continuing basis the size and condition of the Commonwealth tax supported debt as well as other debt of any authority of the Commonwealth. The estimate shall be made available electronically and prominently displayed on the official website of the commonwealth.”
5. [MGL Ch.29 Sec.60B](https://www.mass.gov/massachusetts-general-laws/chapter-29-section-60b)
Meeting minutes of the Capital Debt Affordability Committee, October 14, 2022.


For example, there were housing bond bills passed in 1998, 2002, 2008, 2013, and 2018.